

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/672,471 09/26/2003 Donald M. Benson 9702 **EXAMINER** 7590 08/02/2004 DONALD M. BENSON ABDELWAHED, ALI F 3433 EAST 100TH STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT GRANT, MI 49327 3712

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	—D—	
.b			٨/	
Office Action Summary	10/672,471 Examiner	BENSON, DONALD M	· //	
	Ali Abdelwahed	3712	1	
The MAILING DATE of this communication				
Period for Reply	••	•		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of th eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become i	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this commun ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	17 May 2004.			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for all	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application	٦.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 1	miner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	prrection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	52.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		.,,,,,		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received in	Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have bee	n received in this National Stag	e	
application from the International Bu	` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	i list of the certified copies no	t received.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	B) Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date		
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	3/08) 5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152))	
. The state and	ر المادي	 ·		

Application/Control Number: 10/672,471

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,262,911 to Opresik et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,984,753 to Perez.

Opresik et al. discloses the claimed invention including the annular rim projecting outwardly and downwardly from the upper portion, which can best be seen in figure 4 wherein the edge of rim 17 that is opposite of the edge that is directly attached to the ball curves downward and outward. The outer edge of rim 17 that curves downward and outward is defined as the portion of rim 17 that extends approximately perpendicularly downward from the upper surface of rim 17. The outer edge of rim 17 then intersects a second surface defined as the bottom portion of the outer edge that lies in a plane approximately parallel to the upper surface of rim 17.

However, Opresik et al. fails to teach the body and rim being formed unitarily of foam, with the interior of the body and rim being completely and entirely filled with foam. Nevertheless, Perez teaches a flyable ball (10) comprising the aforementioned limitation (see column 4, lines 42-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the flyable ball of Opresik et al., in view of Perez, such that it would provide the flyable ball of Opresik et

al. with the concept of the aforementioned limitation for the purpose of providing a softer and thereby safer toy to play with in the possible occurrence of the toy striking the user during play.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the flyable ball of Opresik et al. to have the upper portion larger than the lower portion by rearranging the upper and lower portions (20, 21) of the ball (16) so that the larger portion (21) would be on top and the smaller portion (20) would be on the bottom, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70. Additionally, the fact that the upper portion is larger than the lower portion would inherently place the transverse axis above the annular rim, since the annular rim would not be directly centered with the spherical body. Thus, the annular rim is located below and spaced from the transverse axis.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ali Abdelwahed whose telephone number is (703) 305-3311. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745.

Art Unit: 3712

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

AA 07/28/2004

> DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700